# Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00133 151028Z

13

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01

SP-02 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-05 /056 W

----- 034364

O R 150930Z JAN 75 FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 9545

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USCINCEUR

USMNR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

CINCUSAFE

CINCUSAREUR

CINCUSNAVEUR

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 0133

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: MPOL, NATO SUBJ: 1975 AD-70 REPORT

REF: A. USNATO 0084 B. STATE 003477

C. USNATO 0094

DSYG PANSA HAS CIRCULATED DPC/D(75)2 (QUOTED BELOW) WHICH CONTAINS PROPOSED SCOPE AND CONTENT FOR 1975 AD-70 SPRING REPORT AND RECOMMENDS DPC APPROVAL. MISSION BELIEVES THAT PROPOSAL INCLUDES ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS DESIRED BY US IN SPRING REPORT (REF B) AND INTENDS TO SUPPORT DPC APPROVAL DURING JANUARY 16 MEETING. BEGIN TEXT:

ALLIANCE DEFENCE PROBLEMS FOR THE 1970S -SPRING REPORT 1975 NOTE BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 00133 151028Z

INTRODUCTION

THE DEFENCE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN PERMANENT SESSION INVITED (1) THE EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP TO CONSIDER THE SCOPE AND

NATURE OF THE AD 70 REPORT DUE TO BE SUBMITTED TO MINISTERS IN SPRING 1975. THE EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP HAS DISCUSSED THIS ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, TAKING ACCOUNT INTER ALIA OF THE SUGGESTIONS MADE BY THE SECRETARY GENERAL IN PO/74/93. WE CONSIDERED IT DESIRABLE, HOWEVER, TO AWAIT THE OUTCOME OF THE DEFENCE MINISTERS' MEETING LAST DECEMBER BEFORE SUBMITTING OUR FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS.

#### BACKGROUND

- 2. THE ORIGINAL AD 70 REPORT (2) ESTABLISHED THE BASIC POLITICO-MILITARY ASSUMPTIONS FOR DEFENCE PLANNING IN THE SEVENTIES AND SET OUT IN DETAIL THE MAIN MAJOR PROBLEMS REQUIRED TO BE RESOLVED. IN 1971, THE AD 70 REPORT(3) CONTAINED RESUMES OF STUDIES CARRIED OUT ON ALL THE PROBLEM AREAS TOGETHER WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION. IT ALSO CONTAINED THE FIRST PROGRESS REPORT ON THE EUROPEAN DEFENCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME. SUBSEQUENT REPORTS HAVE CONCENTRATED ON REVIEWING PROGRESS, AND IN 1973 (AND 1974) THE REPORT COVERED BOTH FORCE CAPABILITIES AND SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES ON A REGIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL BASIS. DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE 1973 REPORT IT WAS AGREED THAT REPORTING ON NATIONAL FORCE IMPROVEMENTS WAS BEST CARRIED OUT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DEFENCE PLANNING REVIEW (4).
- 3. THE PROCESS IS NOW WELL UNDER WAY, FOR INSTANCE, AT LEAST 80PERCENT OF THE AD 70 HIGH PRIORITY MEASURES HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN NATO FORCE GOALS AND THE MACHINERY FOR MONITORING THEIR IMPLEMENTATION ALREADY EXISTS IN THE ANNUAL DEFENCE REVIEW. THE 1974 DEFENCE REVIEW ALSO DEVOTED SPECIAL ATTENTION TO THOSE SUBJECTS IDENTIFIED AS "BASIC ISSUES" IN THE LAST AD 70 REPORT. AS TO THE BROAD POLITICO-MILITARY BACKGROUND ON WHICH THE ORIGINAL AD 70 REPORT WAS BASED, THIS WILL NOW BE PROVIDED IN THE MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE, AGAIN UNDER PREPRATION FOR THE SPRING MEETING.

- (1) DPC/R(74)19 DATED 11TH SEPTEMBER, 1974
- (2) DPC/D(70)22(FINAL) DATED 10TH DECEMBER, 1970
- (3) DPC/D(71)27 DATED 29TH NOVEMBER, 1971
- (4) DPC/D(72)19 DATED 3RD AUGUST, 1972 CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 00133 151028Z

### SCOPE OF THE 1975 SPRING REPORT:

- 4. IN CONSIDERING THE SCOPE AND FORMAT OF THE REPORT FOR NEXT SPRING WE MUST BE GUIDED BY THE NEED TO AVOID DUPLICATION OF WORK. WE HAVE FOR EXAMPLE, JUST COMPLETED IN THE FORM OF THE 1974 DEFENCE REVIEW (1) A COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION, COUNTRY BY COUNTRY AND REGION BY REGION, OF THE PRESENT STATE OF NATO'S DEFENCES AND FUTURE PLANS UP TO THE END OF THE DECADE. AS FOR SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES AND STUDIES, SEPARATE REPORTS ARE ALREADY DUE TO BE SUBMITTED TO MINISTERS ON RATIONALISATION AND SPECIALISATION, ON FLEXIBILITY (BY SACEUR) AND POSSIBLY ALSO ON STANDARDIZATION AND ON INFRASTRUCTURE.
- 5. IN THE LIGHT OF THE ABOVE THE EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP

#### CONSIDERED VARIOUS OPTIONS, INCLUDING:

- AN EXAMINATION IN DEPTH OF NATO FORCE CAPABILITIES AND SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES AS IN 1973 AND 1974, WITH THE AIM OF MAKING AN OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE OF NATO'S DEFENCES AND THE RELATIONSHIP OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS TO THE WHOLE;
- A DETAILED REPORT ON SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES OR ISSUES (E.G. STANDARDISATION) WHERE SPECIFIC MINISTERIAL SUPPORT OR DIRECTION IS REQUIRED.
- 6. IT WAS FELT THAT BOTH THESE COURSES OF ACTION INVOLVED TOO MUCH DUPLICATION WITH WORK ALREADY DONE OR IN HAND. IT IS UNDESIRABLE HOWEVER TO CONCLUDE FROM THIS THAT NO AD 70 REPORT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED IN 1975. THE MILITARY AUTHORITIES IN PARTICULAR ATTACH GREAT IMPORTANCE TO MAINTAINING THE VALIDITY OF THE AD 70 RECOMMENDATIONS. WE THEREFORE SUGGEST A COMPARATIVELY SHORT DOCUMENT WHICH WOULD ENABLE MINISTERS TO ESTABLISH THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE INDIVIDUAL REPORTS AVAILABLE TO THEM IN THE WIDER CONTXT OF NATO DEFENCE BUT WHICH WOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO COVER THE SAME GROUND AGAIN. IN PARTICULAR IT WOULD:
- (A) ESTABLISH THE RELEVANCE OF AD 70 TO CURRENT PLANNING ACTIVITIES IN NATO;
- (B)REPEAT, AND UPDATE AS NECESSARY, THE ASSESSMENTS OF FORCE CAPABILITIES MADE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE 1974 DEFENCE REVIEW:
- (C) RELATE THE CONTENT OF THE SEPARATE REPORTS ON CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 00133 151028Z

SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES, ETC., TO THE OVERALL DEFENCE CAPABILITIY OF THE ALLIANCE;

(D) DRAW ATTENTION TO SPECIFICAREAS(E.G. BASIC ISSUES) WHERE ADDITIONAL EFFORT AND MINISTERIAL SUPPORT IS NEEDED.

(1) DPC/D(74)21, GENERAL REPORT AND COUNTRY CHAPTERS

#### (1) 21 0,2 (, 1)21, 021 214

RECOMMENDATIONS

7. THE DEFENCE PLANNING COMMITTEE IS INVITED TO AGREE THAT THE EXECUTIVE WORKING GROUP SHOULD BE ASKED TO PROCEED WITH THE PREPARATION OF A REPORT ON THE LINES DESCRIBED IN PARA 6 ABOVE FOR SUBMISSION TO MINISTERS AT THEIR SPRING MEETING. THE DRAFT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR CONSIDERATION BY PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES BY THE END OF APRIL.

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 JAN 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO00133

Document Number: 1975NATO00133
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750188/abbrzhnu.tel Line Count: 159 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 0084 B. STATE 003477 C. USNATO 0094
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 23 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <23 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <23 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: 1975 AD-70 REPORT TAGS: MPOL, NATO

To: STATE

SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR

**USMNR SHAPE** USLOSACLANT CINCLANT CINCUSAFE

CINCUSAREUR
CINCUSNAVEUR
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006